

MACHINE PERFUSION VERSUS COLD STORAGE PRESERVATION IN NON-HEART-BEATING KIDNEY DONATION AND TRANSPLANTATION: FIRST RESULTS OF A MULTICENTRE TRIAL IN EUROTRANSPLANT

Jacques Pirenne¹, Jacqueline M. Smits², Cyril Moers³, Mark-Hugo J. Maathuis³, Jürgen Treckmann⁴, Bogdan P. Napieralski⁴, Margitta van Kasterop-Kutz², Jaap J. Homan van der Heide³, Ernst van Heurn⁵, Günter R. Kirste⁶, Axel Rahmel², Henri G.D. Leuvenink³, Andreas Paul⁴, Diethard Monbaliu¹, Ina Jochmans¹, Jean-Paul Squifflet⁷ and Rutger J. Ploeg³.

¹Abdominal Transplant Surgery, University Hospitals Leuven, Leuven, Belgium; ²-, Eurotransplant International Foundation, Leiden, Netherlands; ³University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, Groningen, Netherlands; ⁴Department of General, Visceral and Transplantation Surgery, University Hospital Essen, Essen, Germany; ⁵Department of Surgery, University Hospital Maastricht, Maastricht, Netherlands; ⁶-, Deutsche Stiftung Organtransplantation, Frankfurt, Germany and ⁷Abdominal Surgery and Transplantation, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Sart Tilman, Liège, Belgium.

Body: Delayed Graft Function (DGF) > Kidney Transplantation (KTx) causes morbidity & cost, & negatively affects graft function/survival. Kidney grafts from Non-Heart-Beating-Donors (NHBD) exposed to warm+cold ischemia are particularly vulnerable to DGF. Compared to Cold Storage (CS), hypothermic Machine Perfusion (MP) may provide better preservation for NHBD kidneys, but evidence is limited in quality & numbers.

Aim: To compare efficacy of MP vs CS for preserving NHBD kidneys.

Methods: In an international/prospective/randomized/controlled trial we enrolled kidney pairs of 82 consecutive NHBD. All NHBDs were Maastricht-category-3 (awaiting cardiac arrest/planned therapy withdrawal). One kidney was randomly assigned to MP & the contralateral kidney to CS. Kidneys were allocated using standard allocation. At time of offer, preservation method (MP vs CS) and perfusion parameters were not revealed. 3-month data of all 164 recipients were analyzed.

Results: Donor age (y) was 43 (17-67). Baseline demographics were comparable between MP vs CS: Recipient age (y) 49(24-73) vs 52 (24-77), p=0.81; preTx dialysis duration(days) 1542(366-6402) vs 1448(132-3904), p=0.48; first/reTx 34/48 vs 34/48, p=0.56; %PRA(0-5/6-84/85+) 71/11/0 vs 71/10/1, p=0.73; %0 HLA A,B,DR mismatches was 2.4 vs 3.7, p=0.5. Cold Ischemia Time (CIT) (h) was 15(4.3-28.9) for MP vs 15.9 (8.6-46.6) for CS, p=0.7. DGF-incidence was 53.7% in MP vs 69.5% in CS recipients, p=0.027. DGF duration (days) was 9(1-48) in MP vs 13(2-43) in CS, p=0.04. DGF < 7 days occurred in 12/32(27%) in MP vs 6/51(10.5%) in CS, p=0.028. Creatinine clearance(ml/min) at d7, d14, 1mth, 3mth in MP vs CS was 13 vs 9, p=0.009; 23 vs 13, p=0.001; 46 vs 38, p=0.078; 57 vs 49, p=0.19, resp. PNF rate was identical after MP & CS (2.4%). Acute rejection rate was 7.3% in MP vs 12.2% in CS kidneys, p=0.22. Graft loss (<3mth) was identical after MP & CS (3.6%). Patient survival was 98.7%(MP) vs 100%(CS). Logistic regression analysis showed that MP (p=0.035; Odds ratio 0.476) & CIT (p=0.009; Odds ratio 1.118) independently influenced DGF.

Conclusion: This study demonstrates -for the first time- that MP of NHBD kidneys reduces *incidence, duration & severity* of DGF and ameliorates graft function after KTx. 1-year results will be presented.